arXiv:1605.06365v1 [math.DS] 20 May 2016

Fokker-Planck equations for Marcus stochastic differential equations driven by Lévy processes

Xu Sun[1,2], *Xiaofan Li*[2], *and Yayun Zheng*[1]

[1]Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430074, Hubei, China

[2]Illinois Institute of Technology, Chicago, IL 60616, USA

E-mail: xsun15@iit.edu; lix@iit.edu; yayzheng@gmail.com

April 30, 2016

Abstract

Marcus stochastic differential equations (SDEs) often are appropriate models for stochastic dynamical systems driven by non-Gaussian Lévy processes and have wide applications in engineering and physical sciences. The probability density of the solution to an SDE offers complete statistical information on the underlying stochastic process. Explicit formula for the Fokker-Planck equation, the governing equation for the probability density, is well-known when the SDE is driven by a Brownian motion. In this paper, we address the open question of finding the Fokker-Plank equations for Marcus SDEs in arbitrary dimensions driven by non-Gaussian Lévy processes. The equations are given in a simple form that facilitates theoretical analysis and numerical computation. Several examples are presented to illustrate how the theoretical results can be applied to obtain Fokker-Planck equations for Marcus SDEs driven by Lévy processes.

Key Words: Fokker-Planck equation, Marcus stochastic differential equations, Stochastic dynamical systems, non-Gaussian white noise, Lévy processes

1 Introduction

Stochastic differential equations (SDEs) have been widely used as mathematical models for stochastic dynamical systems [1, 2, 3]. Soutions of the SDEs, which are usually nowhere differentiable, are often interpreted in terms of some stochastic integral. The definition of the solutions may not be unique, because it depends on the specific stochastic integral involved.

Stochastic dynamical systems under excitation of Gaussian white noise are often modeled by SDEs driven by Brownian motions [1, 2], for which there are two popular definitions, namely, Itô SDEs and Stratonovich SDEs. While the former finds wide application in finance, enconogy and biology, the latter turns out to be more appropriate models in engineering and physical sciences like mechanics and physics [2, 4].

Stochastic dynamical systems under excitation of non-Gaussian white noise are often modeled by SDEs driven by Lévy processes. The SDEs driven by Lévy processes can be defined in sense of Itô or Marcus. The Marcus SDEs [5, 6, 7, 3] can be regarded as the generalization of Stratonovich SDEs. In addition to the Stratonovich correction term, Marcus SDEs have an extra correction term due to the jumps. It is recently shown in [8] that the DiPaola-Falsone SDEs [9, 10], which are widely used in engineering and physics [11, 12], are actually equivalent to Marcus SDEs.

One of the main tasks in the research field of stochastic dynamical systems is to quantify how uncertainty propagates and evolves. Given the SDE model of a stochastic system, there are many methods available to achieve the goal. One of them is to study how the moments of the solution evolve in time [4]. Although the method of moments can provide some important information for the uncertainty, the statistical feature of the non-Gaussian distributions can not be fully captured by a finite number of moments in general. Another popular method is to obtain statistical information about the solution of the SDE path-wisely using Monte Carlo simulations [13]. However, the efficiency of this method is significantly limited by the accuracy and convergence rate of Monte Carlo simulation. To better quantify the uncertainty propagation and evolution, it is highly desirable to *obtain the probability density of the solution*, which contains the complete statistical information about the uncertainty.

Fokker-Planck equations, also known as Fokker-Planck-Kolmogorov equations or forward Kolmogorov equations, are deterministic equations describing how probability density functions evolve. For Itô or Stratonovich SDEs driven by Brownian motions, there are well established formula to write down the corresponding Fokker-Planck equations [1, 2, 4]. For example, consider the following Itô SDE

$$\mathrm{d}X(t) = f(X(t))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(X(t))\mathrm{d}B(t), \qquad X(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{1}$$

where $X(t) = (X_1(t), X_2(t), \cdots, X_d(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $f = (f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_d)^T : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{d\times n} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times n}$. $B(t)$ is an n-dimensional Brownian motion, and f and g satisfy certain smoothness conditions. The probability density function $p(x,t)$ for the solution $X(t)$ in (1) can be expressed as [1]

$$\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = -\sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left[f_i(x)p(x,t)\right] + \sum_{i,j=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}\left[D_{ij}(x)p(x,t)\right], \tag{2}$$

where $D_{ij}(x) = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \sigma_{ik}(x)\sigma_{kj}(x)$.

However, up to date, there is no formula available for the Fokker-Plank equations corresponding to SDEs driven by general non-Gaussian Lévy processes. The reason lies in that Fokker-Planck equations require explicit expressions for the adjoint of the infinitesimal generator associated with the solution of the SDEs. These explicit forms, however, are often unavailable for SDEs driven by non-Gaussian Lévy processes. For Itô SDEs driven by Lévy processes, although the general result is unknown, there are some special cases where the corresponding Fokker-Planck equations are available, e.g., Schertzer *et al.* [14] derived Fokker-Planck equations for Itô SDEs driven by α-stable processes. There is little existing result for Fokker-Planck equations for Marcus SDEs. The only published result we can find so far is given by [15], where the authors have derived Fokker-Plank equations for one-dimensional Marcus SDEs driven by scalar Lévy processes under the very stringent condition that the noise coefficient is strictly nonzero. However, for Marcus SDEs, driven by multi-dimensional Lévy processes and with more general noise coefficients, a form of Fokker-Planck equations that is accessible for computation still remains an open problem.

Fokker-Planck equations have been a widely-used important tool to quantify the propagation of uncertainty in stochastic dynamical systems driven by Brow-

nian motions[16, 4]. In contrast, the unavailability of the Fokker-Planck equations for Marcus SDEs driven by Lévy processes poses as a significant obstacle on quantifying the uncertainty in stochastic dynamical systems under excitation of non-Gaussian Lévy noise. We note that since the Fokker-Planck equations are not available for Marcus SDEs with non-Gaussian Lévy noise, effort has been made to obtain approximate Fokker-Planck equations by stochastic averaging under the condition of small parameters, see [17] among others.

The *main objective of this paper* is to derive the Fokker-Planck equations for multi-dimensional Marcus SDEs driven by Lévy processes.

Let $L(t)$ denotes the $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued Lévy process characterized by the generating triplet (b, A, ν), where b is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $A = (A_{ij})$ is a positive definite n-by-n matrix, and ν is a measure defined on $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\{0\}$ satisfying

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\backslash\{0\}} (|y|^2 \wedge 1)\nu(\mathrm{d}y) < \infty. \tag{3}$$

Here $y = (y_1, y_2, \cdots, y_n)$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$, $|y| = \sqrt{y_1^2 + y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2}$ is the usual Euclidean norm of y, and the operation '$\wedge$' is defined as $a \wedge b = \min\{a, b\}$. By Lévy-Itô decomposition [3], the Lévy process $L(t)$ can be expressed as

$$L(t) = bt + B(t) + \int_{|y|<1} y\tilde{N}(t, \mathrm{d}y) + \int_{|y|\geq 1} yN(t, \mathrm{d}y), \tag{4}$$

where $B(t)$ is the Brownian motion with the covariance matrix A, and $N(t, \mathrm{d}y)$ is the Poisson random measure defined as

$$N(t, Q)(\omega) = \#\{s \big| 0 \leq s \leq t; \Delta L(s)(\omega) \in Q\}, \tag{5}$$

with $\#\{\cdot\}$ representing the number of elements in the set '$\cdot$'. Q is a Borel set in $\mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\})$, $\Delta L(t)$ the jumps of $L(t)$ at time t defined as $\Delta L(t) = L(t) - L(t-)$, and $\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}y)$ is the compensated Poisson measure defined as $\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}y) = N(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}y) - \mathrm{d}t\,\nu(\mathrm{d}y)$.

Each component $L_j(t)$ $(j = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ of $L(t)$ can be expressed as

$$L_j(t) = b_j t + \sum_{k}^{n} \tau_{jk} B_k(t) + \int_{|y|<1} y_j \tilde{N}(t, \mathrm{d}y) + \int_{|y|\geq 1} y_j N(t, \mathrm{d}y), \qquad 1 \leq j \leq n, \tag{6}$$

where b_j is the j-th component of vector b, $B_k(t)$ $(k = 1, 2, \cdots, n)$ are independent standard scalar Brownian motions and $\tau = (\tau_{ij})$ is a n-by-n real matrix, which is related to the the covariance matrix A by $A = (A_{ij}) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n} \tau_{ik}\tau_{kj}\right)$.

Note that, throughout of this paper, if x represent an element in $\mathbb{R}^n$, then x_j will be used to denote the j-th component of x without further claim.

Consider the SDE in sense of Marcus,

$$\mathrm{d}X(t) = f(X(t))\mathrm{d}t + \sigma(X(t)) \diamond \mathrm{d}L(t), \qquad X(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d, \tag{7}$$

where $X(t) = (X_1(t), X_2(t), \cdots, X_d(t))^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $f = (f_1, f_2, \cdots, f_d)^T : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$, $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})_{d\times n} : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times n}$. The solution to the SDE (7) is interpreted as

$$X(t) = X(0) + \int_0^t f(X(s))\mathrm{d}s + \int_0^t \sigma(X(s-)) \diamond \mathrm{d}L(s), \tag{8}$$

where $X(s-)$ is the left limit $\lim\limits_{u<s, u\to s} X(u)$, and "$\diamond$" indicates Marcus integral [5, 6, 7, 3] defined by

$$\begin{aligned}\int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-}) \diamond \mathrm{d}L(s) = \int_0^t \sigma(X_{s-})\mathrm{d}L(s) + \frac{1}{2}\int_0^t \tilde{\sigma}(X(s-))\mathrm{d}s \\ + \sum_{0\le s\le t} \left[H(\Delta L(s), X(s-)) - X(s-) - \sigma(X(s-))\Delta L(s)\right].\end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Here $\tilde{\sigma}(X(s-))$ is a vector in $\mathbb{R}^d$ with the i-th element as

$$\tilde{\sigma}_i(X(s-)) = \sum_{m=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sum_{l=1}^{n} \sigma_{ml}(X(s-))\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}\sigma_{ij}(X(s-))A_{lj}, \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, d. \tag{10}$$

$H : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is defined such that, for any $u \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $H(u, v) = \Phi(1)$ with $\Phi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d, r \mapsto \Phi(r)$ being the solution to the initial value problem of the system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} = \sigma(\Phi(r))v, \quad \Phi(0) = u. \tag{11}$$

It can be written in the form of components as

$$\begin{cases} \dfrac{\mathrm{d}\Phi_i(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} = \sum\limits_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(\Phi_1(r), \Phi_1(r), \cdots, \Phi_d(r))v_j, \\ \Phi(0) = u_i, \qquad\qquad i = 1, 2, \cdots, d. \end{cases} \tag{12}$$

The first term in the right hand side of (9) is the stochastic integral in sense of Itô. The second term is the correction term due to the continuous part of $X(t)$, which is actually the correction term due to Stratonovich integral. The last term is the correction term due to the jumps of $X(t)$. Note that Marcus integral reduces to Stratonovich integral when jumps are absent.

The goal of this paper is to derive the Fokker-Planck equations for the multi-dimensional Marcus SDEs (7) driven by Lévy processes. Sections of this paper are organized as follows. We introduce the main results in section 2, give the proof of the main results in section 3, and present some examples in section 4 to illustrate how the main results is applied to obtain Fokker-Planck equations in some specific applications.

2 Main Result

Let $p(x,t\big|X(0)=x_0)$ represent the probability density function for the solution $X(t)$ of the SDE (7), and for convenience, we drop the initial condition and simply denote it by $p(x,t)$.

Throughout this work, we assume the following.

Assumption (H1)**.** *Probability density function $p(x,t)$ for the solution $X(t)$ defined in (7) exists and is continuously differentiable with respect to t and twice continuously differentiable with respect to x for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$.*

Assumption (H2)**.** *$f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^d$ is continuously differentiable (i.e., $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^d)$) and $\sigma : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}^{d\times n}$ is Lipschitz and twice continuously differentiable (i.e., $\sigma \in C^2(\mathbb{R}^d, \mathbb{R}^{d\times n})$).*

The study of conditions for existence and regularity of the probability density for the solution of SDE (7) is out of the scope of the current paper. We note that existence and regularity of probability densitys for SDEs driven by Lévy processes are currently active research area. See [18, 19, 20] among others.

Our form of Fokker-Planck equation requires the mapping $\tilde{H}$ defined below.

Definition 1. *For $u = (u_1, u_2, \cdots, u_d)^T \in \mathbb{R}^d$ and $v = (v_1, v_2, \cdots, v_n)^T \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we introduce the mapping $\tilde{H}$ that relies on $\sigma = (\sigma_{ij})$, the coefficient of the noise term in the SDE (7) such that*

$$\tilde{H} : \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^d, \quad (u,v) \mapsto \tilde{H}(u,v) = \Psi(1), \tag{13}$$

where $\Psi : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^d, r \mapsto \Psi(r)$ is the solution of the ODEs

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Psi(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\sigma(\Psi(r))v, \quad \Psi(0) = u. \tag{14}$$

Theorem 1 (Main result)**.** *Suppose the assumptions H*1 *and H*2 *hold, then the probability density function $p(x,t)$ for the solution $X(t)$ to the SDE (7) satisfies the following equation*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} = &-\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left[\left(f_i(x)+\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sigma_{ij}(x)b_j+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{m=1}^{d}\sum_{j,\,l=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\sigma_{ij}(x)}{\partial x_m}\sigma_{ml}(x)A_{lj}\right)p(x,t)\right]\\ &+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i,m=1}^{d}\sum_{j,\,l=1}^{n}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i\partial x_m}[\sigma_{ij}(x)\sigma_{ml}(x)A_{jl}p(x,t)]\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}}\left[p(\tilde{H}(x,y),t)\left|\frac{\partial\tilde{H}(x,y)}{\partial x}\right|-p(x,t)+\sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j=1}^{n}y_jI_{|y|<1}(y)\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(\sigma_{ij}(x)p(x,t))\right]\nu(dy),\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

where (b,A,ν) is the generating triplet of the Lévy process $L(t)$, $\tilde{H}$ is defined in (13) and (14), and $\left|\frac{\partial\tilde{H}(x,y)}{\partial x}\right|$ is the Jacobian of $\tilde{H}(x,y)$ with respect to x, defined as

$$\left|\frac{\partial\tilde{H}(x,y)}{\partial x}\right| = \begin{vmatrix} \frac{\partial\tilde{H}_1(x,y)}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial\tilde{H}_1(x,y)}{\partial x_d} \\ \cdots & \cdots & \cdots \\ \frac{\partial\tilde{H}_d(x,y)}{\partial x_1} & \cdots & \frac{\partial\tilde{H}_d(x,y)}{\partial x_d} \end{vmatrix}. \tag{16}$$

3 Proof of the main result

The following lemma reveals the relationship between the mapping H, as defined in (9) and (11), and $\tilde{H}$, as defined in (13) and (14).

Lemma 1. *If $\sigma:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^{d\times n}$ is Lipschitz continuous and continuously differentiable (i.e., $\sigma\in C^1(\mathbb{R}^d,\mathbb{R}^{d\times n})$), then*
(i) for $\forall v\in\mathbb{R}^n$, $H(\cdot,v):\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$ is invertible with the inverse mapping as $\tilde{H}(\cdot,v):\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d$, i.e., for all $u\in\mathbb{R}^d$ and $v\in\mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\tilde{H}(H(u,v),v)=H(\tilde{H}(u,v),v)=u; \tag{17}$$

(ii) both $H(\cdot,v)$ and $\tilde{H}(\cdot,v)$ are continuously differentiable.

Proof of Lemma 1. Note that σ is Lipschitz continuous, then the solution of the ODE (11) or (14) exists globally and is unique.

Let $\xi:\mathbb{R}\times\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d,(r,u)\mapsto\xi(r,u)=\Phi(r)$ represent the flow of ODE (11) and $\xi_r:\mathbb{R}^d\to\mathbb{R}^d,u\mapsto\xi(r,u)$ be the time-r mapping. The uniqueness of the

ODE solution implies that

$$\xi_0 = u, \quad \xi_{r_1+r_2} = \xi_{r_1} \circ \xi_{r_2}, \quad \forall r_1, r_2 \in \mathbb{R}. \tag{18}$$

(18) indicates that time-u mapping ξ_r is invertible and $\xi_r^{-1} = \xi_{-r}$. Moreover, it is well known that the time-r mapping associated with (11) or (14) is as smooth as σ, which follows from the dependence of the ODE solution on the initial value [21].

Since for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $H(\cdot, v)$ and $\tilde{H}(\cdot, v)$ are actually time-1 mapping associated with (11) and (14), respectively, and the flow for (14) is exactly the time reversal version of that for (11), we get the Lemma immediately. □

The following Lemma can be found in many textbooks on theory of distributions. See [22] among others.

Lemma 2. *Suppose* $\gamma_1 \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$ *and* $\gamma_2 \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^n)$*, if* $\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n$, $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\gamma_1(x)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \varphi(x)\gamma_2(x)\,\mathrm{d}x$*, then* $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $\gamma_1(x) = \gamma_2(x)$.

Proof of Theorem 1. It follows from (4) and (7) that, for $1 \le i \le d$,

$$\begin{aligned} dX_i(t) = {} & f_i(X(t))\,\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(X(t-))b_j\,\mathrm{d}t + \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(X(t-))\tau_{jk}\,\mathrm{d}B_k(t) \\ & + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j,l=1}^{n}\sum_{m=1}^{d} \sigma_{ml}(X(t-))A_{lj}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m}\sigma_{ij}(X(t-))dt \\ & + \int_{|y|<1} [H_i(X(t-), y) - X_i(t-))]\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}y) \\ & + \int_{|y|\ge 1} [H_i(X(t-), y) - X_i(t-))]N(\mathrm{d}t, \mathrm{d}y) \\ & + \int_{|y|<1} [H_i(X(t-), y) - X_i(t-)) - \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(X(t-))y_j]\nu(\mathrm{d}y)\,\mathrm{d}t. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

By using (19) and the Itô formula, $\forall \varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we can get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\varphi(X(t+\Delta t)) - \varphi(X(t)) \\
&= \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi(X(s-) \right) f_i(X(s))\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi(X(s-)) \right) (\sigma_{ij}(X(s-))b_j)\ \mathrm{d}s \\
&+ \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi(X(s-)) \right) \sum_{j,k=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(X(s-))\tau_{jk}\ \mathrm{d}B_k(s) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \sum_{i,m=1}^{d} \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi(X(s-)) \right) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_m} \sigma_{ij}(X(s-)) \right) \sigma_{ml}(X(s-))A_{lj}\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \sum_{i,m=1}^{d} \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_m} \varphi(X(s-) \right) \sigma_{ij}(X(s-\sigma_{ml}(X(s-))A_{jl}\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&+ \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_{|y|<1} [\varphi(H(X(s-),y)) - \varphi(X(s-))]\,\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s,\,\mathrm{d}y) \\
&+ \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_{|y|\geq 1} [\varphi(H(X(s-),y)) - \varphi(X(s-))]\,N(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y) \\
&+ \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_{|y|<1} \left[\varphi(H(X(s-),y)) - \varphi(X(s-)) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \varphi(X(s-)) \right) \sigma_{ij}(X(s-))y_j \right] \nu(\mathrm{d}y)\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}
\tag{20}
$$

Taking expectation at both sides of (20), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x)p(x,t+\Delta t)\,\mathrm{d}x - \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x)p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x \\
&= \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} f_i(x)p(x,s)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}s + \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x)b_j \right) p(x,s)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i,m=1}^{d} \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}(x)}{\partial x_m} \sigma_{ml}(x)A_{lj}p(x,s)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i,m=1}^{d} \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_m} \sigma_{ij}(x)\sigma_{ml}(x)A_{jl}p(x,s)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&+ \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y|\geq 1} [\varphi(H(x,y)) - \varphi(x)]\,p(x,s)\,\nu(\mathrm{d}y)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}s \\
&+ \int_t^{t+\Delta t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \int_{|y|<1} \left[\varphi(H(x,y)) - \varphi(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \sigma_{ij}(x)y_j \right] p(x,s)\,\nu(\mathrm{d}y)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}s.
\end{aligned}
\tag{21}
$$

To obtain (21), we have changed the orders of integrals justified by Fubini's theorem, since $\varphi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, we rely on the following facts for getting

(21),

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\int_t^{t+\Delta t}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\sum_{j,k=1}^{n}\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}\left(\varphi(X(s-))\right)\sigma_{ij}(X(s-)\tau_{jk}\,\mathrm{d}B_k(s))\right\}=0, \tag{22}$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\int_{|y|<1}[\varphi(H(X(s-),y))-\varphi(X(s-))]\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y)\right\}=0, \tag{23}$$

$$\mathbf{E}\left\{\int_{|y|\geq 1}[\varphi(H(X(s-),y))-\varphi(X(s-))]\tilde{N}(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y)\right\}=0, \tag{24}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}&\mathbf{E}\left\{\int_t^{t+\Delta t}\int_{|y|\geq 1}[\varphi(H(X(s-),y))-\varphi(X(s-))]\ N(\mathrm{d}s,\mathrm{d}y)\right\}\\ =&\mathbf{E}\left\{\int_t^{t+\Delta t}\int_{|y|\geq 1}[\varphi(H(X(s-),y))-\varphi(X(s-))]\ \nu(\mathrm{d}y)\,\mathrm{d}s\right\}\\ =&\int_t^{t+\Delta t}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{|y|\geq 1}[\varphi(H(X(s-),y))-\varphi(x)]p(x,t)\,\nu(\mathrm{d}y)\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}s.\end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

The first identity in (25) follows from (24).

Dividing both sides of (21) by Δt, and taking the limit of $\Delta t\to 0$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\varphi(x)\frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t}\,\mathrm{d}x\\ =&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\partial\varphi(x)}{\partial x_i}f_i(x)p(x,t)dx+\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\partial\varphi(x)}{\partial x_i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sigma_{ij}(x)b_j\right)p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x\\ +&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sum_{i,m=1}^{d}\frac{\partial\varphi(x)}{\partial x_i}\left(\sum_{j,l=1}^{n}\frac{\partial\sigma_{ij}(x)}{\partial x_m}\sigma_{ml}(x)A_{lj}\right)p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x\\ +&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\sum_{i,m=1}^{d}\frac{\partial^2\varphi(x)}{\partial x_i\partial x_m}\left(\sum_{j,l=1}^{n}\sigma_{ij}(x)\sigma_{ml}(x)A_{jl}\right)p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x\\ +&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}}\left[\varphi(H(x,y))-\varphi(x)-\sum_{i=1}^{d}\frac{\partial\varphi(x)}{\partial x_i}\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n}\sigma_{ij}(x)y_j\right)I_{|y|<1}(y)\right]p(x,t)\,\nu(\,\mathrm{d}y)\,\mathrm{d}x.\end{aligned} \tag{26}$$

Using integration by parts, we rewrite the first four terms at the right-hand side

(RHS) of Eq.(26)

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i}^{d=1} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} f_i(x) p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x) b_j \right) p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$+\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i,m=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}(x)}{\partial x_m} \sigma_{ml}(x) A_{lj} \right) p(x,t) dx$$
$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) p(x,t) \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(f_i(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x) b_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \sum_{m=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}(x)}{\partial x_m} \sigma_{ml}(x) A_{lj} \right) \mathrm{d}x, \tag{27}$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left(\sum_{i,m=1}^{d} \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2 \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_m} \sigma_{ij}(x) \sigma_{ml}(x) A_{jl} \right) p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \sum_{i,m=1}^{d} \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_m} \left(\sigma_{ij}(x) \sigma_{ml}(x) A_{jl}\, p(x,t) \right) \mathrm{d}x. \tag{28}$$

The last integral in the RHS of (26) becomes

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \left[\varphi(H(x,y)) - \varphi(x) - \sum_{i}^{d} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j}^{n} \sigma_{i,j}(x) y_j \right) I_{|y|<1}(y) \right] p(x,t) \nu(\mathrm{d}y)$$
$$= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \setminus \{0\}} \nu(\mathrm{d}y) \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \left[\varphi(H(x,y)) - \varphi(x) - \sum_{i}^{d} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j}^{n} \sigma_{i,j}(x) y_j \right) I_{|y|<1}(y) \right] p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x. \tag{29}$$

Let $z = H(x,y)$. By Lemma 1, we have $x = \tilde{H}(z,y)$. Making the changing the variable $x = \tilde{H}(z,y)$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(H(x,y)) p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(z) p(\tilde{H}(z,y),t) \left| \frac{\partial \tilde{H}(z,y)}{\partial z} \right| \mathrm{d}z, \tag{30}$$

where $\left| \frac{\partial \tilde{H}(z,y)}{\partial z} \right|$ is the Jacobian of $\tilde{H}(z,y)$ with respect to z. Also, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x) y_j I_{|y|<1}(y) \right) p(x,t)\,\mathrm{d}x$$
$$= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x) y_j I_{|y|<1}(y) p(x,t) \right] \mathrm{d}x. \tag{31}$$

Substituting (30) and (31) into (29), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}} \left[\varphi(H(x,y)) - \varphi(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial \varphi(x)}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x) y_j\right) I_{|y|<1}(y)\right] p(x,t)\, \nu(\mathrm{d}y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}} \varphi(x) \left[p(\tilde{H}(x,y),t) \left|\frac{\partial \tilde{H}(x,y)}{\partial x}\right| - p(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x) y_j I_{|y|<1}(y) p(x,t)\right)\right] \nu(\,\mathrm{d}y).
\end{aligned} \tag{32}$$

Substituting (27), (28)and (32) into (26), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t}\, \mathrm{d}x \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left[\left(f_i(x) + \sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x) b_j + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{d} \sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \sigma_{ij}(x)}{\partial x_m} \sigma_{ml}(x) A_{lj}\right) p(x,t)\right] \mathrm{d}x \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x) \sum_{i,m=1}^{d} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_m} \left(\sum_{j,l=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x) \sigma_{ml}(x) A_{jl}\, p(x,t)\right) \mathrm{d}x \\
&+ \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \varphi(x)\, \mathrm{d}x \int_{\mathbb{R}^n\setminus\{0\}} \left[p(\tilde{H}(x,y),t) \left|\frac{\partial \tilde{H}(x,y)}{\partial x}\right| - p(x,t) + \sum_{i=1}^{d} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \sigma_{ij}(x) y_j I_{|y|<1}(y) p(x,t)\right)\right] \nu(\mathrm{d}y).
\end{aligned} \tag{33}$$

The main result (15) follows from Lemma 2. □

4 Examples

According to the main results presented in Theorem 1, for a given SDE (7) driven by the Lévy process $L(t)$ with the generating triplet (b, A, ν), we only need solving the ODE (14) for the mapping $\tilde{H}$ to obtain the corresponding Fokker-Planck equation.

The following lemma, which can be verified easily from the definition, will be used in the examples to obtain the generating triplet of an $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued Lévy process given each of its component being a scalar Lévy process with known triplet and being independent of each other.

Lemma 3. *Let $\{L_i(t), i = 1, 2, \cdots, n\}$ be n independent scalar Lévy processes with $L_i(t)$ having the generating triplet (b_i, A_i, ν_i). Then the $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued process $L(t) = (L_1(t), L_2(t), \cdots, L_n(t))$ is a $\mathbb{R}^n$-valued Lévy process with the generating triplet (b, A, ν) and $b = (b_1, b_2, \cdots, b_n)$, $A = \mathrm{diag}(A_1, A_2, \cdots, A_n)$,*

and $\nu(\mathrm{d}x_1, \mathrm{d}x_2, \cdots, \mathrm{d}x_n) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\nu_i(\mathrm{d}x_i) \left(\prod_{k=1, k\neq i}^{n} \delta_0(\mathrm{d}x_k) \right) \right)$*, where* $\delta_0(\cdot)$ *is the Dirac measure on* $\mathbb{R}$ *centered at* 0.

Example 1. One-dimensional Marcus SDE driven by α-stable process

Consider the following SDE,

$$\mathrm{d}X(t) = f(X(t))\mathrm{d}t + X(t) \diamond \mathrm{d}L(t), \qquad X(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \tag{34}$$

where $X(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ is Lipschitz and continuously differentiable, $L(t)$ is a symmetry α-stable process with the generating triplet (b, A, ν) as $A = 0$ and $\nu(\mathrm{d}y) = \dfrac{\mathrm{d}y}{|y|^{1+\alpha}}$. Equation (34) can be written in the form of (7) with $d = 1$, $n = 1$, and $\sigma(X(t)) = X(t)$.

Solving (14), which becomes

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\Phi(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} = -\Phi(r)v, \qquad \Phi(0) = u, \tag{35}$$

we get

$$\tilde{H}(u, v) = ue^{-v}. \tag{36}$$

By substituting b, A, ν, and $\tilde{H}$ into (15), we get the following Fokker-Planck equation which governs the probability density function of $X(t)$ in SDE (34),

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p(x,t)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left[(f(x) + bx)\, p(x,t)\right] \\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus\{0\}} \frac{p(xe^{-y}, t)e^{-y} - p(x,t) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x}(xp(x,t))yI_{|y|<1}(y)}{|y|^{1+\alpha}} \mathrm{d}y. \end{aligned} \tag{37}$$

Example 2. Nonlinear oscillator under excitation of combined Gaussian and Poisson white noise

Consider the second-order SDE

$$\ddot{Y}(t) + Y(t) = f(Y(t), \dot{Y}(t)) + \dot{B}(t) + \dot{Y}(t) \diamond \dot{C}(t), \tag{38}$$

where $Y(t) \in \mathbb{R}$, $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}$, $B(t)$ is a scalar Brownian motion, and $C(t)$ is a compound Poisson process expressed as

$$C(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} r_i. \tag{39}$$

Here $N(t)$ is a Poisson process with intensity parameter λ and $\{r_i, i = 1, 2, \cdots, N(t)\}$ are i.i.d random variables with probability distribution function $\rho(\mathrm{d}x)$. Note

that (38) describes an stochastic oscillator [23]. The first term in the left-hand side of (38) represents the acceleration, the second term represents elastic force, and the RHS represents all other forces. Let $X_1(t) = Y(t)$, $X_2(t) = \dot{Y}(t)$, then (38) can be written as

$$\begin{cases} \mathrm{d}X_1(t) &= X_2(t)\mathrm{d}t, \\ \mathrm{d}X_2(t) &= -X_1(t)\mathrm{d}t + \mathrm{d}B(t) + X_2(t) \diamond \mathrm{d}C(t) \end{cases}.$$

It can be expressed in the form of (7) with $X(t) = \begin{pmatrix} X_1(t) \\ X_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$, $f(X(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} X_2(t) \\ -X_1(t) \end{pmatrix}$, $\sigma(X(t)) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & X_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$, and $L(t) = \begin{pmatrix} B(t) \\ C(t) \end{pmatrix}$. It follows from Lemma 3 that $L(t)$ is a two-dimensional Lévy process with the generating triplet (b, A, ν) as $b = 0$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, and $\nu(\mathrm{d}y_1, \mathrm{d}y_2) = \lambda\delta_0(\mathrm{d}y_1)\rho(\mathrm{d}y_2)$.

Now the ODE (14) becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\Phi_1(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} &= 0, \\ \frac{d\Phi_2(r)}{\mathrm{d}r} &= -\Phi_1(r) - v_2\Phi_2(r) \end{cases} \tag{40}$$

with $\Phi(0) = u$. It follows from (40) and (13) that

$$\tilde{H}((u_1, u_2)^T, (v_1, v_2)^T) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1 \\ u_1 K(-v_2) + e^{-v_2}u_2 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{41}$$

where $K(\cdot)$ is defined as

$$K(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{1 - e^x}{x}, & \text{for} \quad x \neq 0 \\ -1, & \text{for} \quad x = 0. \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

Let $p(x_1, x_2, t)$ be the joint probability density function of $(X_1(t), X_2(t))$. From Theorem 1, the governing equation for p is

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial p}{\partial t}(x_1, x_2, t) = &-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left[x_2 p(x_1, x_2, t)\right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left[\left(-x_1 + \frac{1}{2}x_2\right)p(x_1, x_2, t)\right] \\ &+ \frac{1}{2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2}\left[x_2^2 p(x_1, x_2, t)\right] \\ &+ \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^1 \setminus \{0\}} [p(x_1,\, x_1K(-y_2) + e^{-y_2}x_2,\, t)e^{-y_2} - p(x_1, x_2, t)]\rho(\mathrm{d}y_2). \end{aligned} \tag{43}$$

Example 3. We consider the SDEs in $\mathbb{R}^2$.

$$\begin{cases} dX_1(t) &= f_1(X_1(t), X_2(t))dt + X_2(t) \diamond dL_1(t), \\ dX_2(t) &= f_2(X_1(t), X_2(t))dt + X_1(t) \diamond dL_2(t) \end{cases} \tag{44}$$

with initial value $X_0 = x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^2$, where $L_1(t)$ and $L_2(t)$ are two independent α-stable processes with generating triplets as $(1, 0, \nu_1)$ and $(1, 0, \nu_2)$, respectively. ν_1 and ν_2 are defined as $\nu_1(\mathrm{d}y) = \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|y|^{1+\alpha_1}}$ and $\nu_2(\mathrm{d}y) = \frac{\mathrm{d}y}{|y|^{1+\alpha_2}}$. Note that (44) can be expressed in the form of (7) with $\sigma(x) = \begin{pmatrix} x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & x_1 \end{pmatrix}$ and $L(t) = \begin{pmatrix} L_1(t) \\ L_2(t) \end{pmatrix}$. By Lemma 3, $L(t)$ is a two dimensional Lévy process with the generating triplet as $b = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $\nu(\mathrm{d}y_1, \mathrm{d}y_2) = \delta_0(\mathrm{d}y_1)\nu_2(\mathrm{d}y_2) + \delta_0(\mathrm{d}y_2)\nu_1(\mathrm{d}y_1)$.

Solving ODE (14), which now becomes

$$\begin{cases} \frac{d\Phi_1(r)}{dr} &= -v_1\Phi_2(r), \\ \frac{d\Phi_2(r)}{dr} &= -v_2\Phi_1(r). \end{cases} \tag{45}$$

with $(\Phi_1(0), \Phi_2(0)) = (u_1, u_2)$, we get the mapping $\tilde{H}$ as

$$\tilde{H}((u_1, u_2)^T, (v_1, v_2)^T) = \begin{pmatrix} u_1\overline{\cos}(v_1v_2) - v_1u_2\overline{\sin}(v_1v_2) \\ -v_2u_1\overline{\sin}(v_1v_2) + u_2\overline{\cos}(v_1v_2) \end{pmatrix} \tag{46}$$

where $\overline{\cos}(\cdot)$ and $\overline{\sin}(\cdot)$ are functions defined as

$$\overline{\cos}(x) = \begin{cases} \cosh(\sqrt{|x|}), & \text{for} \quad x \geq 0, \\ \cos(\sqrt{|x|}), & \text{for} \quad x < 0, \end{cases} \tag{47}$$

and

$$\overline{\sin}(x) = \begin{cases} \dfrac{\sinh(\sqrt{|x|})}{\sqrt{|x|}}, & \text{for} \quad x > 0, \\ 1, & \text{for} \quad x = 0, \\ \dfrac{\sin(\sqrt{|x|})}{\sqrt{|x|}} & \text{for} \quad x < 0 \end{cases} \tag{48}$$

By substituting b, A, ν, and $\tilde{H}$ into (15), we get the following Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density function $p(x_1, x_2, t)$ of the solution

$(X_1(t), X_2(t))^T$ in SDE (44) as

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial p(x_1,x_2,t)}{\partial t} &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}\left[\left(f_1(x_1,x_2)+x_2\right)p(x_1,x_2,t)\right]\\ &-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}\left[\left(f_2(x_1,x_2)+x_1\right)p(x_1,x_2,t)\right]\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus 0}\left[p(x_1,x_2-y_2x_1,t)-p(x_1,x_2,t)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(x_1p(x_1,x_2,t)y_2I_{|y_2|<1}(y_2))\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}y_2}{|y_2|^{1+\alpha_2}}\\ &+\int_{\mathbb{R}\setminus 0}\left[p(x_1-y_1x_2,x_2,t)-p(x_1,x_2,t)+\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(x_2p(x_1,x_2,t)y_1I_{|y_1|<1}(y_1))\right]\frac{\mathrm{d}y_1}{|y_1|^{1+\alpha_1}}.\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

References

[1] F. C. Klebaner. *Introduction to Stochastic Calculus with Applications*. 2nd Edition, Imperial College Press, 2005.

[2] B. K. Oksendal. *Stochastic Differential Equations : an Introduction with Applications*. Springer, 6th Edition, 2003.

[3] D. Applebaum. *Lévy Processes and Stochastic Calculus*. Cambridge University Press, 2nd Edition, 2009.

[4] Y. K. Lin and G. Q. Cai. *Probabilistic Structural Dynamics: Advanced Theory and Applications*. Springer, 2005.

[5] S. I. Marcus. Modeling and analysis of stochastic differential equations driven by point processes. *IEEE Transanctions on Information Theory*, IT-24:164–172, 1978.

[6] S. I. Marcus. Modeling and approximation of stochastic differential equations driven by semimartingales. *Stochastics*, 4:223–245, 1981.

[7] T. Kurtz, E. Pardoux, and P. Protter. Stratonovich stochastic differential equations driven by general martingales. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare Prob. Stat.*, 31:351–377, 1995.

[8] X. Sun, J. Duan, and X. Li. An alternative expression for stochastic dynamical systems with parametric poisson white noise. *Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics*, 32:1–4, 2013.

[9] M. Di Paola and G. Falsone. Itô and stratonovich integrals for delta-correlated processes. *Probabilistic Engineering Mechanics*, 8:197–208, 1993.

[10] M. Di Paola and G. Falsone. Stochastic dynamics of non-linear systems driven by non-normal delta-correlated processes. *ASME Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 60:141–148, 1993.

[11] K. Kanazawa, T. Sagawa, and H. Hayakawa. Stochastic energetics for non-gaussian processes. *Physical Review Letters*, 108:201601, 2012.

[12] J. Wan and W. Q. Zhu. Stochastic averaging of quasi-integrable and non-resonant hamiltonian systems under combined gaussian and poisson white noise excitations. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 76:1271–1289, 2014.

[13] P. Kloeden and E. Platen. *Numerical Solutions of Stochastic Differential Equations*. Springer, 1992.

[14] D. Schertzer, M. Larcheveque, J. Duan, V. Yanovsky, and S. Lovejoy. Fractional Fokker-Planck equation for nonlinear stochastic differential equations driven by non-Gaussian Lévy stable noises. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 42:200–212, 2001.

[15] X. Sun and J. Duan. Fokker-Planck equations for nonlinear dynamical systems driven by non-gaussian Lévy processes. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 53:072701, 2012.

[16] H. Risken. *The Fokker-Planck Equation: Methods of Solution and Applications*. Springer, 1996.

[17] Y. Zeng and W. Q. Zhu. Stochastic averaging of n-dimensional non-linear dynamical systems subject to non-Gaussian wide-band random excitations. *International Journal of Non-linear Mechanics*, 45:572–586, 2010.

[18] E. Priola and J. Zabczyk. Densities for Ornstein-Uhlenbeck processes with jumps. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 41:41–50, 2009.

[19] S. V. Bodnarchuk and A. M. Kulik. Conditions of smoothness for the distribution density of a solution of a multidimensional linear stochastic differential equation with Lévy noise. *Ukrainian Mathematical Journal*, 63:501–5055, 2011.

[20] Y. Song and X. Zhang. Regularity of density for SDEs driven by degenerate Lévy noise. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 20:1–27, 2015.

[21] C. Chicone. *Ordinary Differential Equations with Applications, Second Edition*. Springer-Verlag, 2006.

[22] P. Bhattacharyya. *Distributions: Generalized Functions with Applications in Sobolev Spaces*. De Gruyter, 2012.

[23] X. Sun, J. Duan, and X. Li. Stochastic modeling of nonlinear oscillators under combined Gaussian and Poisson white noise: a viewpoint based on the energy conservation law. *Nonlinear Dynamics*, 84:1311–1325, 2016.